via Video Conferencing

$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(C) 6052/2021

RENU GANGWAR @ AYESHA ALVI ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. Durga Das Vashisht, Proxy Adv.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sameer Vashisht, ASC (Civil),GNCTD with Ms. Urvi Kapoor & Ms. Sanjana Nangia, Advs. for R-1 to 3.
Ms. Garima Praashad Sr. Adv. AAG Mr. Vishnu Shankar Jain, Standing Counsel of State of U.P.for R-3 & 4

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

O R D E R

% 08.11.2021

1. The petitioner, who claims that she has been receiving threats from unknown persons after she had converted to Islam of her own will and volition, has approached this Court seeking police protection. It is the petitioner's case that she has received some of these threats from officials of the Government of Uttar Pradesh.
2. In the light of this grievance raised by the petitioner, as none was appearing on behalf of respondent nos.4 & 5, this Court had directed that Court notice be issued to the standing counsel for the State of

Uttar Pradesh i.e. respondent no.5. Pursuant thereto Ms. Garima Praashad, Additional Advocate General of the State of Uttar Pradesh has entered appearance on behalf of respondent nos. 4 & 5 i.e. the Director General of Police and the State of Uttar Pradesh. She submits that there is no question of any official of the respondent nos.4 & 5 issuing any threats to the petitioner. She further submits that even otherwise, the petitioner has failed to provide any details of the purported threats received by her and therefore prays that the writ petition be dismissed.

3. Mr. Vashisht, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 3, also submits that the petitioner has neither provided any details of any threat received by her, nor has she provided her mobile number or latest address to the said respondents. He further submits that even otherwise the respondents have not even received any further communication from the petitioner or her counsel in this regard.

4. In the light of the aforesaid stand taken by the respondents, in my view nothing survives for adjudication in the present petition. However, since proxy counsel for the petitioner requests for a short adjournment on account of non-availability of the main counsel, list on 10.11.2021.

REKHA PALLI, J

NOVEMBER 8, 2021
ms